



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/814,363	03/23/2001	Dara Ung	20-431	3923

7590 01/12/2006  
MANELLI DENISON & SELTER PLLC  
7th Floor  
2000 M Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20036-3307

EXAMINER

PEACHES, RANDY

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2686

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/814,363	UNG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Randy Peaches	2686	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 August 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-62 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                        | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. ***Claims 1-16, 20-25, 29-47, 51-56 and 60-62*** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Mcdowell et al (U.S. Publication 2001/0034224 A1).

Regarding ***claims 1, 7, 32 and 38***, Mcdowell et al (U.S. Publication 2001/0034224 A1) discloses in paragraph [0039], a short message service (SMS) server, which reads on claimed "short message service center (SMSC)", a home location register (HLR), which reads on claimed "module", for permitting automatic status tracking of a wireless user e.g. wireless telephone, PDA, a pager, a vehicle, etc., which reads on claimed "chat session participant", by an application external to a cellular/PCS network (see FIGURE 1 paragraph [0035]), which reads on claimed "service provider", including said SMSC, a message handler comprising:

- a registration notification message (REGNOT) and MSINACT, which reads on claimed "MSInactivity", receiver to alert other wireless users of a said user's presence (see paragraph [0032]). Mcdowell discloses in paragraph [0046], that REGNOT/MSINACT receiver is a Message Event Sever (MES) capable of

receiving and processing the user event information (e.g. network information relating to the presence and/or location of a said wireless user (see paragraph [0044, 0045]) received over a TCP/IP connection from a said HLR and further producing an associated presence and/or location; and

- a registration notification message (REGNOT) and MSINACT, which reads on claimed "MSInactivity", forwarder to forward a said (REGNOT) and MSINACT received by a Message Event Server, over an internet connection to a device outside a wireless network. **NOTE:** (McDowell et al. teaches in paragraph [0040] and [0041] wherein the said SMS server sends an over the air message or similar type informing the said user of the presence of a subscriber. The instant function satisfies the limitation of "integrated in the SMSC", in that, the said SMS server forwards information to a user; therefore, a "forwarding mechanism" is a part of the architecture of the said SMS server). Also, as detailed in FIGURE 10a, the cellular network comprises the mobile switch center, which sends the said registration notification message (REGNOT) and MSINACT to the said MES.

McDowell et al. discloses in paragraph [0046] wherein the forwarded information details whether or not the information is sent to a user within the said wireless network or outside the said wireless network, which reads on claimed "device outside of a wireless network." . See paragraph [0033, 0046].

Regarding **claims 2, 8, 33 and 39**, according to **claims 1, 7, 32 and 38**, Mcdowell et al (U.S. Publication 2001/0034224 A1) discloses in paragraph [0039], a short message service (SMS) server, which reads on claimed "short message service center (SMSC)", a home location register (HLR), which reads on claimed "module", for permitting automatic status tracking of a wireless user e.g. wireless telephone, PDA, a pager, a vehicle, etc., which reads on claimed "chat session participant", by an application external to a cellular/PCS network (see FIGURE 1 paragraph [0035]), which reads on claimed "service provider", including said SMSC, a message handler wherein:

- Mcdowell et al teaches in paragraph [0035], that the said Internet connection utilizes a TCP/IP protocol.

Regarding **claims 3, 9, 34 and 40**, according to **claims 1, 7, 32 and 38**, Mcdowell et al (U.S. Publication 2001/0034224 A1) discloses in paragraph [0039], a short message service (SMS) server, which reads on claimed "short message service center (SMSC)", a home location register (HLR), which reads on claimed "module", for permitting automatic status tracking of a wireless user e.g. wireless telephone, PDA, a pager, a vehicle, etc., which reads on claimed "chat session participant", by an application external to a cellular/PCS network (see FIGURE 1 paragraph [0035]), which reads on claimed "service provider", including said SMSC, a message handler wherein:

- said registration notification message (REGNOT) and MSINACT (user event information) forwarder replicates, which reads on claimed "copies", all said

REGNOT and MSINACT received by said MSC. See paragraphs [0044, 0045, 0046].

Regarding **claims 4, 10, 35 and 41**, according to **claims 1, 7, 32 and 38**, Mcdowell et al (U.S. Publication 2001/0034224 A1) discloses in paragraph [0039], a short message service (SMS) server, which reads on claimed "short message service center (SMSC)", a home location register (HLR), which reads on claimed "module", for permitting automatic status tracking of a wireless user e.g. wireless telephone, PDA, a pager, a vehicle, etc., which reads on claimed "chat session participant", by an application external to a cellular/PCS network (see FIGURE 1 paragraph [0035]), which reads on claimed "service provider", including said SMSC, a message handler wherein:

- a gateway, which reads on claimed "wireless internet gateway", to transmit said forwarded notification messages (user event information) over said Internet connection. See FIGURE 1 paragraphs [0033, 0035, 0037, 0039, 0041].

Regarding **claims 5, 11, 36 and 42**, according to **claims 4, 10, 35 and 41**, Mcdowell et al (U.S. Publication 2001/0034224 A1) discloses in paragraph [0039], a short message service (SMS) server, which reads on claimed "short message service center (SMSC)", a home location register (HLR), which reads on claimed "module", for permitting automatic status tracking of a wireless user e.g. wireless telephone, PDA, a pager, a vehicle, etc., which reads on claimed "chat session participant", by an

application external to a cellular/PCS network (see FIGURE 1 paragraph [0035]), which reads on claimed "service provider", including said SMSC, a message handler wherein:

- said registration notification message (REGNOT) and MSINACT (user event information) handler communicates with the said gateway, which reads on claimed "wireless internet gateway", using signaling system #7 (SS7) communication protocol. See paragraphs [0033, 0037, 0039, 0041, 0047].

Regarding **claims 6, 12, 37 and 43**, according to **claims 1, 7, 32 and 38**, Mcdowell et al (U.S. Publication 2001/0034224 A1) discloses in paragraph [0039], a short message service (SMS) server, which reads on claimed "short message service center (SMSC)", a home location register (HLR), which reads on claimed "module", for permitting automatic status tracking of a wireless user e.g. wireless telephone, PDA, a pager, a vehicle, etc., which reads on claimed "chat session participant", by an application external to a cellular/PCS network (see FIGURE 1 paragraph [0035]), which reads on claimed "service provider", including said SMSC, a message handler wherein:

- said registration notification message (REGNOT) and MSINACT (user event information) is previously forwarded by a said HLR. See FIGURE 10a paragraphs [0029, 0032, 0039].

Regarding **claims 13, 22, 44 and 53**, Mcdowell et al (U.S. Publication 2001/0034224 A1) discloses in FIGURE 10a and 10b paragraphs [0039, 0046, 0057, 0058], of a method and system, which reads on claimed "apparatus", for automatically notifying an external message distribution system, commonly referred to as a Short Message

Service Center (SMSC), which reads on claimed "external chat server", of the presence of a wireless user e.g. wireless telephone, PDA, a pager, a vehicle, etc., which reads on claimed "chat session participant", comprising:

- receiving an IS-41 conforming registration notification message (REGNOT), as taught by McDowell et al when an event trigger is initiated in paragraphs [0046, 0056, 0057, 0058], e.g. when a said user's device is turned on, from a Mobile Switching Center (MSC); and
- automatically forwarding, see FIGURE 10a paragraphs [0032], the said IS-41 conforming registration notification message (REGNOT) over an internet connection, see paragraph [0033], to a said Short Message Service Center (SMSC), which reads on claimed "external chat server". McDowell et al teaches of this occurrence in paragraph [0046].
- Additionally, McDowell et al. continues to teach in paragraph [0046], that the transmission of information may be conducted over a variety of communication links, such as TCP/IP.

Regarding **claims 14, 23, 45 and 54**, according to **claims 13, 22, 44 and 53**, McDowell et al (U.S. Publication 2001/0034224 A1) discloses in FIGURE 10a and 10b paragraphs [0039, 0046, 0057, 0058], of a method and system, which reads on claimed "apparatus", for automatically notifying an external message distribution system, commonly referred to as a Short Message Service Center (SMSC), which reads on claimed "external chat server", of the presence of a wireless user e.g. wireless



telephone, PDA, a pager, a vehicle, etc., which reads on claimed “chat session participant”, wherein:

- said registration notification message is a REGNOT message. See paragraph [0046]. Examiner further concludes, as evident in FIGURE 10a, the HLR is receiving the said notification message from the said MSC over a said Internet connection.

Regarding **claims 15, 24, 46 and 55**, according to **claims 13, 22, 44 and 53**, Mcdowell et al (U.S. Publication 2001/0034224 A1) discloses in FIGURE 10a and 10b paragraphs [0039, 0046, 0057, 0058], of a method and system, which reads on claimed “apparatus”, for automatically notifying an external message distribution system, commonly referred to as a Short Message Service Center (SMSC), which reads on claimed “external chat server”, of the presence of a wireless user e.g. wireless telephone, PDA, a pager, a vehicle, etc., which reads on claimed “chat session participant”, wherein:

- Mcdowell et al teaches in paragraph [0035], that the said Internet connection utilizes a TCP/IP protocol.

Regarding **claims 16, 25, 47 and 56**, according to **claims 13, 22, 44 and 53**, Mcdowell et al (U.S. Publication 2001/0034224 A1) discloses in FIGURE 10a and 10b paragraphs [0039, 0046, 0057, 0058], of a method and system, which reads on claimed “apparatus”, for automatically notifying an external message distribution system,

commonly referred to as a Short Message Service Center (SMSC), which reads on claimed "external chat server", of the presence of a wireless user e.g. wireless telephone, PDA, a pager, a vehicle, etc., which reads on claimed "chat session participant", wherein:

- Mcdowell et al teaches in paragraphs [0035, 0039, 0040], said registration notification message is additionally forwarded by a said SMSC.

Regarding **claims 20, 29, 51 and 60**, according to **claims 13, 22, 44 and 53**, Mcdowell et al (U.S. Publication 2001/0034224 A1) discloses in FIGURE 10a and 10b paragraphs [0039, 0046, 0057, 0058], of a method and system, which reads on claimed "apparatus", for automatically notifying an external message distribution system, commonly referred to as a Short Message Service Center (SMSC), which reads on claimed "external chat server", of the presence of a wireless user e.g. wireless telephone, PDA, a pager, a vehicle, etc., which reads on claimed "chat session participant", wherein:

- said registration notification message is signaling system #7 (SS7) and IS-41 compliant. See paragraph [0046, 0054].

Regarding **claims 21, 30, 52 and 61**, according to **claims 13, 22, and 44**, Mcdowell et al (U.S. Publication 2001/0034224 A1) discloses in FIGURE 10a and 10b paragraphs [0039, 0046, 0057, 0058], of a method and system, which reads on claimed "apparatus", for automatically notifying an external message distribution system,

commonly referred to as a Short Message Service Center (SMSC), which reads on claimed "external chat server", of the presence of a wireless user e.g. wireless telephone, PDA, a pager, a vehicle, etc., which reads on claimed "chat session participant", wherein:

- said registration notification message is IS-41 compliant. See paragraph [0046, 0054].

Regarding **claims 31 and 62**, Mcdowell et al (U.S. Publication 2001/0034224 A1) discloses in FIGURE 10a and 10b paragraphs [0039, 0046, 0057, 0058], of a method for automatically notifying an external message distribution system, commonly referred to as a Mobile Event Server (MES), which reads on claimed "external chat server", of the presence of a wireless user e.g. wireless telephone, PDA, a pager, a vehicle, etc., which reads on claimed "chat session participant", comprising:

- receiving an IS-41 conforming MSINACT, which reads on claimed "MSInactivity notification", as taught by Mcdowell et al when an event trigger is initiated in paragraphs [0046, 0056, 0057, 0058], e.g. when a said user's device is turned on, from a Mobile Switching Center (MSC); and
- automatically forwarding, see FIGURE 10a paragraphs [0032], the said IS-41 conforming MSINACT, which reads on claimed "MSInactivity notification", over an internet connection, see paragraph [0033], to a said Mobile Event Server (MES), which reads on claimed "external chat server". Mcdowell et al teaches of this occurrence in paragraph [0046].

- Additionally, McDowell et al. continues to teach in paragraph [0046], that the transmission of information may be conducted over a variety of communication links, such as TCP/IP.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. ***Claims 17-19, 26-28, 48-50 and 57-59*** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McDowell et al (U.S. Publication 2001/0034224 A1) in view of Sandegren (U.S. Patent 6,512,930 B2).

Regarding ***claims 17, 26, 48 and 57***, according to ***claims 13, 22, 44 and 53***, McDowell et al fails to disclose of adding a user corresponding to the forwarded registration notification message to a chat session.

Sandegren (U.S. Patent 6,512,930 B2) teaches in column 5 and 6 lines 46-67 lines 1-17 respectively, of automatically adding a user of a mobile station corresponding to a said forwarded notification that the said user is "on-line", which in turn, adds the user to a list of individuals whose status of communication is of relevance to the said user (see column 3 lines 1-10), which reads on claim "chat session".

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to modify McDowell et al (U.S. Publication 2001/0034224 A1) to include Sandegren (U.S. Patent 6,512,930 B2) in order to achieve a method and apparatus where a user corresponding to a forwarded notification message can be automatically added to a chat session.

Regarding **claims 18, 27, 49 and 58**, according to **claims 17, 26, 48 and 57**, McDowell fails to disclose of automatically notifying other chat participants of the presence of the added user.

Sandegren (U.S. Patent 6,512,930 B2) teaches in column 5 and 6 lines 46-67 lines 1-17 respectively, of automatically adding a user of a mobile station corresponding to a said forwarded notification that the said user is "on-line", which in turn, adds the user to a list of individuals whose status of communication is of relevance to the said user (see column 3 lines 1-10), which reads on claim "chat session". Additionally, as stated in column 3 lines 56-66, that an action is preformed in order to notify other chat participants, which reads on claimed "chat participants", who are of the same status as the added user that the user is available for communication.

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to modify the teachings of McDowell et al (U.S. Publication 2001/0034224 A1) in view of Sandegren (U.S. Patent 6,512,930 B2) in order to achieve a method and apparatus which automatically notifies other chat participants regarding the presence of a an automatically added said user.

Regarding **claims 19, 28, 50 and 59**, according to **claims 17, 26, 48 and 57**, McDowell fails to disclose of an external server automatically sending a list of chat participants to the added user.

Sandegren (U.S. Patent 6,512,930 B2) teaches in column 5 and 6 lines 46-67 lines 1-17 respectively, that the server or Wireless On-line Notification (WOLN) server sends a list of "on-line" users, which reads on claimed "chat participants", to a said user of interest.

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skilled in the art to modify the teachings of Mcdowell et al (U.S. Publication 2001/0034224 A1) in view of Sandegren (U.S. Patent 6,512,930 B2) in order to achieve a method and apparatus which automatically sends a list of chat participant to a user of interest to established communications with the list chat participants if the user so desires.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments filed 8/22/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims in question, the Applicant asserts in the arguments that the said short message service center (SMSC) receives directly REGNOT messages from the HLR location information. Consequently, the Examiner, with respect to only the claim language, maintains that the claim itself discloses only that a **"message**

*handler*"; not specifically the SMSC, receives REGNOT messages directly from the HLR. Therefore, the Examiner maintains that the cited prior discloses clearly in paragraphs 44 and 45 of the HLR sending information directly to a message handler.

Claims 1-62 stand rejected.

### ***Conclusion***

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randy Peaches whose telephone number is (571) 272-7914. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax

Art Unit: 2686

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Randy Peaches  
January 5, 2006

*Marsha D. Bamb-Harold*  
MARSHA D. BAMB-HAROLD  
SUPERVISORY ENGINEER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000